FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION No.1691 of 2007.

Bharat Ranoba More. ..Applicant.

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.

Office Notes,Office Memorandum of Coram apperance,Court's Orders & Directions and Registrar's Order.	: Court or Judge's Order.

Mr U. R. Agandsurve, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr Y. S. Shinde, APP for the Respondent.

CORAM: V.C. DAGA,J.

DATED: 12th JULY, 2007.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned APP for the State.

2. Having seen the material available on record and the order of the Sessions Judge below Exh.1 in Criminal Misc. Application No. 94 of 2007, wherein the bail came to be rejected on the ground that there is circumstantial evidence of last seen together available on record, it is not possible to

entertain this application.

3. At this juncture, needless to mention that I was also taken through the statements of the various witnesses and the circumstances leading to the offence registered under Section 302 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code of Pandharpur Taluka Police Station, I am satisfied that incriminating material exists against the applicant. As such it is not possible to entertain this application for bail. The application is therefore, rejected.

(V.C.DAGA,J)